



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,660	09/15/2005	Jean-Louis Junien	102717.58257US	9879

23911 7590 02/28/2008  
CROWELL & MORING LLP  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP  
P.O. BOX 14300  
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300

EXAMINER
----------

ROBERTS, LEZAH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1612

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/28/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/536,660	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JUNIEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LEZAH W. ROBERTS	<b>Art Unit</b> 1612	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LEZAH W. ROBERTS. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) MARY ANNE SCHOFIELD. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 12 and 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cheng (US 2003/0092736); Chaput; Liu et al. (US 2002/0173663); Lee et al.; and Perry (US 5,942,500).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant will amend the claims to further limit the PPAR alpha agonist. Applicant will argue unexpected results to distinguish the claims from the cited prior art. Applicant will also consider submitting a Declaration to support assertions of unexpected results.  
The Examiner will consider the amendments and arguments and will act accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Frederick F. Krass/  
Supervisor, Art Unit 1612

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required